

**From:** Briony Eastman  
**Sent:** 06 February 2023 18:38  
**To:** Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** 2022/01464 Marquee additional comments from Objector Briony Eastman

Subject: 2022/01464 Marquee additional comments from Objector Briony Eastman

Dear [Licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:Licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)

Please add this to my Representation for 2022/01464 Marquee on Concourse CFC.  
It is a summary of what I will be saying during the 5 minutes at the hearing on Wednesday, 8th Feb, 18:30 by zoom.  
Please acknowledge receipt of this email and that you will add it.

I will explain that I can see the CFC grounds from my doorstep for 29 years and am proud of the Blues.

I received a letter from the Applicant's solicitor which was very confusing; certain explanations but not legally binding conditions on the proposed licensing application.

The Applicant has been negligent in providing a one-page Plan that shows presumably extant trees, giving the Committee the wrong impression.

As well, the Plan does not show certain extant houses directly on the perimeter. How can the Committee decide things with incorrect Plans?

I want to help the Committee make sense of these mistakes because I know these houses directly on the perimeter of CFC where the Marquee will be placed, and have been inside several of them.

There is simply no way the proposed Premises License holder could uphold the Licensing objective Prevention of Noise and Nuisance for a permanently temporary enormous entertainment tent to be set out on the widest part of the Concourse.

I will ask the Committee to uphold the Rule of Law and reject this Application.

Thank you.

Briony Eastman